

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.saylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/133,960	08/14/1998	RAJIV V. JOSHI	YO998-195-(7	7273
46069 7590 10/16/2008 F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC			EXAMINER	
130 WOODBU	JRY ROAD		HUYNH, SON P	
WOODBURY, NY 11797			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2424	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: RAJIV JOSHI and SUCHITRA JOSHI

Application No. 09/133,960 Technology Center 2600

Mailed: October 16, 2008

Before KRISTA ZELE Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator ZELE, Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on July 14, 2008. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing.

APPEAL BRIEF, ARGUMENTS

A review of the file finds that the arguments with respect to each grounds of rejection as provided in the Appeal Brief filed September 5, 2007 under the heading "Argument" has not been clearly provided. Each grounds of rejection must be treated under a <u>separate heading</u> in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii). Any claim argued separately should be placed under a subheading identifying the claim by number. Claims argued as a group should be placed under a subheading identifying the claims by number.

Appellant has not provided the necessary headings and/or subheadings for arguments which correspond to each grounds of rejection. *See also Manual of Patent Examining Procedure* (MPEP) § 1205.02 (8th ed. Rev. 6, Sept 2007) for details.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner to:

- 1) hold the Appeal Brief filed on September 5, 2007 defective;
- notify Appellant to file a paper properly presenting the arguments for each grounds of rejection as required; and
- 3) for such further action as may be appropriate.

Application No. 09/133,960

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

KZ/tsj

F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC 130 WOODBURY ROAD WOODBURY, NY 11797